# **CSB:A** Counting and Sampling tool for Bitvectors

#### Arijit Shaw<sup>1</sup>, Kuldeep S. Meel<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Chennai Mathematical Institute, India

<sup>2</sup> University of Toronto, Canada

#### Counting

Bitvector formula F on variables X

Sol(F) set of assignment on X, that satisfy F

Determine the value of |Sol(F)|

#### Counting

Bitvector formula F on variables X

Sol(F) set of assignment on X, that satisfy F

Determine the value of |Sol(F)|

Also happy with approximation

$$\Pr\left[\frac{|\mathsf{Sol}(\mathsf{F})|}{1+\varepsilon} \le c \le (1+\varepsilon)|\mathsf{Sol}(\mathsf{F})|\right] \ge 1-\delta$$

#### Counting

Bitvector formula F on variables X

Sol(F) set of assignment on X, that satisfy F

Determine the value of |Sol(F)|

Also happy with approximation

$$\Pr\left[\frac{|\mathsf{Sol}(\mathsf{F})|}{1+\varepsilon} \le c \le (1+\varepsilon)|\mathsf{Sol}(\mathsf{F})|\right] \ge 1-\delta$$

Uniform **Sampling** 

Each invocation will return

 $\sigma \in \mathsf{Sol}(\mathsf{F})$  Such that,  $\Pr(\sigma) = \frac{1}{|\mathsf{Sol}(\mathsf{F})|}$ 

#### Counting

Bitvector formula F on variables X

Sol(F) set of assignment on X, that satisfy F

Determine the value of |Sol(F)|

Also happy with approximation

$$\Pr\left[\frac{|\mathsf{Sol}(\mathsf{F})|}{1+\varepsilon} \le c \le (1+\varepsilon)|\mathsf{Sol}(\mathsf{F})|\right] \ge 1-\delta$$

Uniform **Sampling** 

Each invocation will return

 $\sigma \in \mathsf{Sol}(\mathsf{F})$  Such that,  $\Pr(\sigma) = \frac{1}{|\mathsf{Sol}(\mathsf{F})|}$ 

Also, approximation works

$$\frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)|\mathsf{Sol}(\mathsf{F})|} \le \Pr(\sigma) \le \frac{(1+\varepsilon)}{|\mathsf{Sol}(\mathsf{F})|}$$

Not All Bugs Are Created Equal, But Robust Reachability Can Tell the Difference

Guillaume Girol<sup>1( $\boxtimes$ )</sup>, Benjamin Farinier<sup>2</sup>, and Sébastien Bardin<sup>1</sup>

Quantifying Software Reliability via Model-Counting

Samuel Teuber<sup>(E3)</sup> and Alexander Weigl

Not All Bugs Are Created Equal, But Robust Reachability Can Tell the Difference

Guillaume Girol<sup>1( $\boxtimes$ )</sup>, Benjamin Farinier<sup>2</sup>, and Sébastien Bardin<sup>1</sup>

Quantifying Software Reliability via Model-Counting

Samuel Teuber<sup>(E3)</sup> and Alexander Weigl

Not All Bugs Are Created Equal, But Robust Reachability Can Tell the Difference

Guillaume Girol^{1( $\boxtimes)},$  Benjamin Farinier<sup>2</sup>, and Sébastien  $\mathrm{Bardin}^1$ 

#### Quantifying Software Reliability via Model-Counting

Samuel Teuber<sup>(123)</sup> and Alexander Weigl

#### Automating the Development of Chosen Ciphertext Attacks

Gabrielle Beck, Maximilian Zinkus, and Matthew Green, Johns Hopkins University

Not All Bugs Are Created Equal, But Robust Reachability Can Tell the Difference

Guillaume Girol^{1(\boxtimes)}, Benjamin Farinier<sup>2</sup>, and Sébastien  $\operatorname{Bardin}^1$ 

#### Quantifying Software Reliability via Model-Counting

Samuel Teuber<sup>(123)</sup> and Alexander Weigl

#### Automating the Development of Chosen Ciphertext Attacks

Gabrielle Beck, Maximilian Zinkus, and Matthew Green, Johns Hopkins University

#### INPUT-DIRECTED CONSTRAINED RANDOM SIMULATION

Applicant: Cadence Design Systems, Inc., San Jose, CA (US)

Inventors: Ali Abdi, Haifa (IL); Guy Eliezer Wolfovitz, Haifa (IL)

#### Counting

- SMTApproxMC [CMMV '16]
- SMC [KM '19]
- SearchMC [KM '18]

#### Counting

- SMTApproxMC [CMMV '16]
- SMC [KM '19]
- SearchMC [KM '18]



#### Counting

- SMTApproxMC [CMMV '16]
- SMC [KM '19]
- SearchMC [KM '18]

#### Sampling

- SMTSampler [DLBS'18]
- GuidedSampler [DBS'18]
- MegaSampler [PRI'23]

#### Counting

- SMTApproxMC [CMMV '16]
- SMC [KM '19]
- SearchMC [KM '18]

#### Sampling

- SMTSampler [DLBS'18]
- GuidedSampler [DBS'18]
- MegaSampler [PRI'23]

### This work

- Significant recent improvement on CNF counting and sampling
  - Can the improvement be translated to bit-vector counting directly?

## Framework for Counting



#### Implementation

- Built on top of SMT solver **STP**
- Turned off simplifications and rewrites
- Added ApproxMC in place of SAT solver backend





- Logic synthesis based Technology Mapping

   [EMS'07] (STP)
- Tseitin encoding
  - [Tse'79] (Boolector)



- Logic synthesis based Technology Mapping

   [EMS'07] (STP)
- Tseitin encoding
  - [Tse'79] (Boolector)

Approximate Counter:

- ApproxMC
  - Hashing-based counter

Exact counter:

- ADDMC
- ExactMC
- SharpSAT-TD
- Ganak



- Logic synthesis based Technology Mapping

   [EMS'07] (STP)
- Tseitin encoding
  - [Tse'79] (Boolector)

Approximate Counter:

- ApproxMC
  - Hashing-based counter

Exact counter:

- ADDMC
- ExactMC
- SharpSAT-TD
- Ganak



- Input-output

bipartition

• Arjun

• B + E

- Logic synthesis based Technology Mapping - [EMS'07] (STP)
- Tseitin encoding
  - [Tse'79] (Boolector)

Approximate Counter:

- ApproxMC
  - Hashing-based counter

Exact counter:

- ADDMC
- ExactMC
- SharpSAT-TD
- Ganak

## **Best Performing Settings**



**Bitblasting + Preprocessing + Model Counting** 



Number of Instances Solved

## Framework for **Sampling**



#### Implementation

- Built on top of SMT solver **STP**
- Turned off simplifications and rewrites
- Added UniGen and CMSGen in place of SAT solver backend

# Two modes of Sampling

- Almost-uniform sampling (UniGen)
  - Sampling with theoretical guarantees. Hashing-based approach.
- Uniform-like sampling (CMSGen)
  - No theoretical guarantee, but passes distribution testing based criterions
  - CMSGen is made by using random heursitics in CryptoMiniSat

## Two modes of Sampling

- Almost-uniform sampling (UniGen)
  - Sampling with theoretical guarantees. Hashing-based approach.
- Uniform-like sampling (CMSGen)
  - No theoretical guarantee, but passes distribution testing based criterions
  - CMSGen is made by using random heursitics in CryptoMiniSat

Uniform-like sampling is much faster in practice

# **Experiments: Efficiency in Counting**

#### Compiled a set of 668 benchmarks

143

- quantitave model checking
- cryptography
- old literature

**SMTApproxMC** 



# Instances counted in 1 hour / 668 instances

# **Experiments: Efficiency in Counting**

#### Compiled a set of 668 benchmarks

143

- quantitave model checking
- cryptography
- old literature

**SMTApproxMC** 



# Instances counted in 1 hour / 668 instances

# **Experiments: Efficiency in Counting**

#### Compiled a set of 668 benchmarks

- quantitave model checking
- cryptography
- old literature

csb	647
SMTApproxMC	143



#### # Instances counted in 1 hour / 668 instances

# **Efficiency in Sampling**

Sampling Mode	Median Runtime (s)	Instances solved
Almost-uniform (with guarantees)	74.6	641
Uniform-like (without theoretical guarantees)	I.24	662

#### Sampling 500 samples in 1 hour / 668 instances

## Conclusion

- A very efficient tool for bitvector counting
- Future work: other theories. (What are the important questions?)
- Searching for **applications**

### Conclusion

- A very efficient tool for bitvector counting
- Future work: other theories. (What are the important questions?)
- Searching for **applications**





github.com/meelgroup/csb/